

...

Harpal Singh

Vs.

U.T., Chandigarh.

...

Present : Mr. Sunil Panwar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms, Meenakshi Dogra, Advocate for U.T., Chandigarh.
Mr. Jatin Salwan, Advocate for the complainant.

...

Prayer in this petition is, for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.268 dated 11.11.2005, registered under Sections 420,467,468,471 IPC at Police Station Sector 31, Chandigarh.

Vide order of this Court dated 31.3.2006, on the basis of following submissions made by counsel for the petitioner, interim anticipatory bail was granted to the petitioner :

“Counsel for the petitioner contends that the complaint, which led to the lodging of FIR No.268 dated 11.11.2005, registered under Sections 420,467,468,471 of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station Sector 31, Chandigarh, was lodged at the instance of the petitioner but for reasons best known to the investigation, instead of proceeding against the accused referred to in the FIR, the petitioner is now sought to be arrested.”

Counsel for U.T., Chandigarh, on instructions from S.I. Amarjit Singh, Economic Offences Wing, states that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required and that he has joined investigation.

In view of the aforementioned statement, the order of this Court dated 31.3.2006 is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438

of the Cr.P.C.

This petition stands disposed of accordingly.

20.11.2006
GS

(RAJIVE BHALLA)
JUDGE